

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/689,960	10/20/2003		Peter Hauber	BLDR-04c	4416	
20986	7590	11/02/2004		EXAMINER		
LOUIS J BACHAND				ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
P O BOX 1508 LA CANADA, CA 910125508				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2	,			3676		
				DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-6					
Advisory Action	10/689,960	HAUBER ET AL.	3					
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Gary Estremsky	3676						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	l) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the appli	cation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 								
· · ·								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): <u>See Continuation Shee</u>	<u>t</u> .						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 6 and 20 wor amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely f	iled					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>5,6,14 and 20</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,7-13 and 15-19</u> .			•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		_					
10. Other:		Gary Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3676)					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment is not consistent with indication of allowable subject matter in the Final Office Action. See paragraph 7. For example - claim 5 should include ALL limitations of the claims from which it depends, claim 10 stands rejected, claim 13 was not indicated to be allowable and amended version is not the same as previous claim 14, and neither is amended claim 14, etc.. Entry of the amendment would require further search and consideration not appropriate after unlimited stage of Prosecution has been closed. See MPEP 714.12, 714.13. Claims 6, 20 appear to be consistent with indication of allowable subject matter andfor that reason could be entered if submitted alone.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Amendment to claim 9 corrects noted problem and would be entered for purpose of Appeal if submitted alone.